

Nutritional Assessment in Pancreatic Cancer

Rebekah Lord

HPB Specialist Dietitian

Manchester Royal Infirmary

Email: mft.hpbdietitians@nhs.net



Topics

- Pancreatic cancer statistics
- Pancreas and it's functions
- Malnutrition, Sarcopenia and Cachexia
- Factors affecting nutritional status
- Calculating nutritional requirements
- Functional tests and assessment tools used at MFT
- Case study



Pancreatic cancer

- **11**th most common cancer in the UK
 - 10,0000 Pancreatic cancer cases in the UK in 2016
- Lowest survival of all common cancers
 - 5 year survival less than 7%
 - 1 year survival less than 24%
- 1 in 10 will receive potentially curative surgery
- Over 80% of patients report weight loss at the time of diagnosis

PCUK survey of 274 people living with and beyond pancreatic cancer



Pancreas and its functions





Pancreas and its functions





Exocrine function (Acinar cells and ducts)

- Accounts for 85% of the pancreas
- Produces and secretes enzymes:
 - Lipase (fats)
 - Amylase (carbohydrates)
 - Protease (proteins)

Digestion

Pancreas and its functions





Endocrine function (Islets of Langerhans) Produce and secrete hormones into the bloodstream:

- Beta cells Insulin (\downarrow)
- Alpha cells glucagon (个)
- Delta cells Somatostatin

Blood glucose regulation



- Early nutritional intervention is crucial
- High prevalence of malnutrition
- Weight loss common at diagnosis
- Malnutrition leads to:
 - Longer Hospital stay
 - Increased risk of complications
 - Reduced QoL
 - Increased morbidity + mortality
- Better prognosis if weight is stable
- Weight loss and steatorrhoea are very late symptoms of PEI





Factors affecting nutritional status

- Increased REE
- Malabsorption due to pancreatic exocrine insufficiency
 - Abdominal pain, loose stools, Steatorrhoea, lethargy, bloating, flatulence/burping
- Taste changes
- Weight loss associated with chemotherapy/radiotherapy/surgery
- Low mood
- Misdiagnosed/undiagnosed hyperglycaemia
- Nausea/Vomiting
 - Side effect from treatment
 - Secondary to delayed gastric emptying/gastric outlet obstruction

What is the difference between malnutrition, sarcopenia and cachexia?



Malnutrition

 'Malnutrition is a state of nutrition in which a <u>deficiency or excess</u> (or imbalance) of <u>energy</u>, protein and other nutrients causes <u>measurable adverse effects</u> on tissue / body form (body shape, size and composition) and function and clinical outcome.' (BAPEN, 2020)

Sarcopenia

- Defined as progressive and generalized loss of skeletal muscle mass and strength
- Prevalence of 55.9%-63% reported in pancreatic cancer patients

Cachexia

- Multifactorial syndrome characterised by an <u>ongoing</u> loss of skeletal muscle mass (with or without loss of fat mass) that <u>cannot be fully reversed</u> by conventional nutritional support and leads to progressive functional impairment
- Prevalence of up to 80% in those with progressing pancreatic cancer

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY



Cancer Cachexia in the Age of Obesity: Skeletal Muscle Depletion Is a Powerful Prognostic Factor, Independent of Body Mass Index

Lisa Martin, Laura Birdsell, Neil MacDonald, Tony Reiman, M. Thomas Clandinin, Linda J. McCargar, Rachel Murphy, Sunita Ghosh, Michael B. Sawyer, and Vickie E. Baracos

Lies Martin, Laura Birdeall, M. Thomae



BMI = 29.4kg/m2 BUT... differing skeletal muscle



How do we assess nutritional status?



Nutritional requirements

ESPEN guidelines (2016)

Energy

- 25-30kcal/kg/day
- Evidence level: low

Protein

- 1-1.5g/kg/day
- Evidence level: moderate

PENG (2018)

Energy

- 24kcal/kg/day
 - ≤65 yrs
 - (BMI 18.5-30kg/m²)
- 24kcal/kg/day (range 22-27)
 - > 65 yrs
 - (BMI 18.5-30kg/m²)
- 25kcal/kg/day (range 24-26)
 - (BMI<18.5kg/m²)

Protein

- Based on ESPEN guidelines
- 1-1.5g/kg/day



Functional tests

- Sit to stand
- Timed up and go
- Handgrip strength



• Duke Activity Index

Other

• Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA)



3m

	AGE	MEN	WOMEN
	60-64	< 14	< 12
	65-69	< 12	< 11
	70-74	< 12	< 10
	75-79	< 11	< 10
1	80-84	< 10	< 9
	85-89	< 8	< 8
9	90-94	< 7	< 4

NHS Foundation Trust

Manchester University



Assessment tools

- Faecal elastase-1 (FE-1)
 - Has limitations
- Scored Patient Generated Subjective Global Assessment (PG SGA)
 - Vashi et al nutritional + survival outcomes in pancreatic cancer patients receiving enteral/parenteral nutrition during cancer treatment. Categorised patients into improved SGA(28.6%), deteriorated SGA(30.3%) and unchanged SGA(41.1%). "Improvement in SGA correlated with a significantly decreased risk of mortality independent of sex, previous treatment history, and evidence of biological anticancer activity."
- Knowledge of PERT
- Gastrointestinal symptoms
- Assessment of physical activity levels (both aerobic and resistance)
- Nutritional deficiencies
 - Vitamin D, Vitamin B12, Folate , Ferritin, Iron, Zinc, Selenium, Copper, HbA1c, random blood glucose

Scored Patient Generated Subjective Global	Scored Patient-Generated Subjectiv Global Assessment (PG-SGA) History Boxes 1-4 are designed to be completed by the patient. [Boxes 1-4 are referred to as the PG-SGA Short Form (SF)]	Pt should complete if possible; not professional or family unless needs help (sight, literacy, etc.)	Patient ID Information	NHS iversity lation Trust
Assessment (PG-SGA)	1. Weight (See Worksheet 1) In summary of my current and recent weight: I currently weigh aboutpounds I am aboutfeettall One month ago I weighed aboutpounds Six months ago I weighed aboutpounds During the past two weeks my weight has: decreased = not changed = increased Box 1 Box 1 max score = 5 points: up to 4 pts from wt loss + up to 1 point for past 2 wks 3. Symptoms: I have had the following problems that have kept me from eating enough during the past two weeks (check all that apply no problems eating (0) no appetite, just did not feel like eating on things taste funny or have no taste amells bother me feel full quickly in things taste funny or have no taste feel full quickly in things taste funny or have no taste feel full quickly	2. Food Intake: rate my food unchange more than less than less than less than less than little only little little little little little little little little </td <td>As compared to my normal intake, I would intake during the past month as: d</td> <td></td>	As compared to my normal intake, I would intake during the past month as: d	
	©FD Ottery, 2001, 2005, 2006, 2014 Email: faithotterymdphd@aol.com or info@pt-global.org		Additive Score of the Boxes 1-4	

	The remainder of this form is to be completed by your doctor, nurse, dietitian, or therapist. Thank you.				
Scored Patient	Patient Scored Patient-Generated Subjective Global Assessment (PG-SGA)				
Generated	Worksheet 1 - Scoring Weight (Wt) Loss To determine score, use 1 month weight data if available. Use 6 month data only if there is no 1 month weight data. Use points below to score weight change and add one extra point if patient has lost weight during the past 2 weeks. Enter total point 5. Worksheet	Additive Score of the Boxes 1-4 (See Side 1) A 2 - Disease and its relation to nutritional requirements	iversity		
Subjective Global	Wt loss in 1 month Points Wt loss in 6 months All relevant 10% or greater 4 20% or greater Primary disc	diagnoses (specify) lation appropriate) I II III IV Other lation	1 Trust		
Assessment	5-9.9% 5 10-1.9.9% One point each: 3-4.9% 2 6 - 9.9% One point each: 2-2.9% 1 2 - 5.9% □Cancer 0-1 9% 0 0-1.9% □Presence of	AIDSPulmonary or cardiac cachexiaPresence of decubitus, open wound, or fistula			
(PG-SGA)	Numerical score from Worksheet 1	Numerical score from Worksheet 2			
E	b. Work Sneet 5 - Interational Demand Score for metabolic stress is determined by a number of variables known to increase pridegrees (3 points) and is on 10 mg of prednisone chronically (2 points) would have an Stress none (0) low (1) Fever no fever >99 and <101 Fever duration no fever Corticosteroids no corticosteroids low dose moderate dose (<10m grednisone (>10 and <30m gr environment (der) (>10 and <30m gr	otein & calorie needs. The score is additive so that a patient who has a fever of > 102 additive score for this section of 5 point high (3) > 102 > 72 hrs high dose steroid rednisone (> 30mg prednisone (> 30mg prednisone (> 30mg prednisone			
ac	7. Worksheet 4 - Physical Exam) equivalents/day)			
	Physical exam includes a subjective evaluation of 3 aspects of body composition: fat, muscle, & fluid status. Since this is subjective, each aspect of the exam is rated for degree of deficit. Muscle deficit impacts point score more than fat deficit. Definition of categories: 0 = no deficit, 1+ = mild deficit, 2+ = moderate 3+ = severe				
	Muscle Status: Fluid Status:				
	clavicles (pectoralis & deltoids) 0 1+ 2+ 3+ These are example	es of areas that 0 1+ 2+ 3+			
	interosseous muscles 0 1+ 2+ 3+ can/should be con determining lossed	eficit (or excess 0 1+ 2+ 3+			
	thigh (quadriceps) 0 1+ 2+ 3+ have to assess all	of these to have Numerical score from Worksheet 4 D			
	Global muscle status rating 0 1+ 2+ 3+ a global sense for muscle or fat. Ren	loss or deficit of eember the Total PG-SGA score			
	orbital fat pads 0 1+ 2+ 3+ exam is only 3 poi	(Total numerical score of A+B+C+D above)			
	not likely to be off point	ay more than 1 (See triage recommendations below)			
	Clinician Signature RD RN PA MD	DO Other Date			
	Nutricition Star L Nutricition Star L Nutricition Nutritional Time Nutritional Star L (1) Nutritional Star L (2) Nutritio	Triage Recommendations: Additive score is used to define specific nutritional interventions i family education, symptom management including pharmacologic intervention, and appropriate in (food, nutritional supplements, enteral, or parenteral triage). intervention includes optimal symptom management. GCSGA point score synchton required at this time. Re-assessment on routine and regular basis during treatment. & family education by dietitian, murse, or other clinician with pharmacologic intervention as ed by symptom survey (Box 3) and lab values as appropriate. es intervention by dietitian, conjunction with nurse or physician as indicated by symptoms (Box 3). es a critical need for improved symptom management and/or nutrient intervention options. <a href="https://www.nurse.com/download-c</td> <td></td>			
	Worksheet 5 May be helpful to circle relevant statement for each PG-SGA category to visually help identify the overall global assessment				

PERT Questionnaire





6

7

8

9

10

All the time

GI symptoms Date: Date: Gastrointestinal symptom questionnaire 2. Currently how often do you open your bowels? Less than once a week This questionnaire is designed to establish how severe your gastrointestinal symptoms are. This information allows us to advise you appropriately on your treatment Once every 4-7 days 1. Please rate your symptoms during the last week by placing a tick in the box that best describes your Once every 2/3 days symptoms Once a day Occasional Frequent All the time Never 2.3 times a day (once, a week) (2-3 times a week) (every, day) 4.6 times a day 1.Abdominal pain after eating 7 or more times a day 2.Abdominal bloating/distention 3. Please pick the box(es) which best describe(s) your stool: 3.Increased flatulence/ wind 4.Belching or burping Bristol stool chart Separate hard lumps, like nuts . Type 1 5.Stomach/abdominal gurgling (hard to pass) 6.Heartburn or add reflux Sausage-shaped but lumpy Type 2 7.Nausea Like a sausage but with cracks on Type 3 its surface 8.Vomiting Like a sausage or snake, smooth Type 4 and soft 9.Urgency to open bowels Soft blobs with clear-cut edges Type 5 (passed easily) 10.Incomplete evacuation Fluffy pieces with ragged edges, a Type 6 mushy stool 11.Greasy/oily/ Pale/floaty.stools Watery, no solid pieces, Type 7 12.Foul smelling stools Entirely liquid 13. Tiredness/ lethargy 4. How much do your bowel symptoms affect your quality of life? +0 2 5 Not at all Total score: / 53 Please turn over



Case study – Mrs Y

- 50Y female
- Presented in March 2020 with painless jaundice
- Diagnosed with HOP cancer May 2020
- Consideration for Whipples surgery
- Commenced on PERT by local Hospital

Initial Dietetic assessment (June 2020)

- Weight 60kg, BMI 23.4kg/m2, 17% weight loss in 3 months
- PG-SGA stage B
- Scored 13/44 (29%) on PERT questionnaire
- Reported taking 50,000IU with meals, 25,000IU with snacks, nil PERT with nutritional supplements
- GI symptom questionnaire 17/53
 - Flatulence, burping, urgency to open bowels SEVERE
 - Bowels opening once every 2-3 days, type 1 bristol stool chart
 - Felt symptoms were not affecting QoL
- PERT education provided

2nd Dietitian assessment (3 weeks later)

- Weight stable
- Had increased dose of PERT with meals + snacks
- Now taking PERT with nutritional supplements
- Scored 30/44 (68%) on PERT questionnaire
- Symptoms of PEI much improved
 - GI symptom questionnaire 6/53
 - Bowels opening more frequently (GP had prescribed laxatives)



Manchester University



To conclude...

- Many factors in pancreatic cancer that affect nutritional status
- Important to assess not only malnutrition, but sarcopenia too
- Assessment tools are useful to compare data over time
- Assessment tools useful to detect exocrine insufficiency
 - Allows timely initiation of PERT



References

Gilliland TM, Villafane-Ferriol N, Shah KP, et al. Nutritional and Metabolic Derangements in Pancreatic Cancer and Pancreatic Resection. *Nutrients*. 2017;9(3):243. Published 2017 Mar 7. doi:10.3390/nu9030243

Fearon KCH, Baracos VE: Cachexia in pancreatic cancer: new treatment options and measures of success. HPB (Oxford) 2010;12:323–324.

Kyle UG, Pirlich M, Lochs H, Schuetz T, Pichard C: Increased length of hospital stay in underweight and overweight patients at hospital admission: a controlled population study. Clin Nutr 2005;24:133–142.

Bachmann J, Heiligensetzer M, Krakowski-Roosen H, Büchler MW, Friess H, Martignoni ME: Cachexia worsens prognosis in patients with resectable pancreatic cancer. J Gastrointest Surg 2008;12:1193–1201.

Sharma C, Eltawil KM, Renfrew PD, Walsh MJ, Molinari M: Advances in diagnosis, treatment and palliation of pancreatic carcinoma: 1990–2010. World J Gastroenterol 2011;17:867–897.

Bachmann J, Ketterer K, Marsch C, Fechtner K, Krakowski-Roosen H, Büchler MW, Friess H, Martignoni ME: Pancreatic cancer related cachexia: influence on metabolism and correlation to weight loss and pulmonary function. BMC Cancer 2009;9:255.

Vashi P, Popiel B, Lammersfeld C, Gupta D. Outcomes of systematic nutritional assessment and medical nutrition therapy in pancreatic cancer. Pancreas. 2015 Jul;44(5):750-5. doi: 10.1097/MPA.000000000000336. PMID: 25872172.